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• 13 recommendations
• “Apply or explain”
• Responsibility of sport federations and NOC*NSF
Call for transition

• Incidents
• Ambitions
• Sustainable finance
• Efficiency and effectiveness
• Representation
Participating sportfederations
Findings
## Highest and lowest scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Average score NL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The organisation publishes its statutes/constitution, internal regulations, organisation chart, sports rules and multi-annual policy plan on its website.</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The organisation publishes information about its board members on its website.</td>
<td>95.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>The organisation implements a policy on promoting sport for all.</td>
<td>91.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>The organisation implements a policy for the promotion of environmental sustainability.</td>
<td>26.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>The organisation ensures the participation of volunteers its policy processes.</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>The organisation has a nomination committee.</td>
<td>18.75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

• Diversity between sport federations

• Awareness and attention for good governance

• Many aspects have been formalised, but not all according to NSGO standards (sometimes due to cultural context and transition phase)

• Procedures with regards to the composition of the board and (external) evaluation of its functioning deserve attention

• Remarkable: lack of policy on gender balance
Debate

- What about the smaller federations?
- Urgency to revise the current Sport Governance Code?
- Involvement of stakeholders in and outside sport?
- How can the NSGO be of use in this process?
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